

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,603	02/07/2004	David Edward Wenstrup	5748		
75	90 11/30/2006	· .	EXAMINER		
Jeffery E. Bacon			SINGH, ARTI R		
Legal Departme PO Box 1926	ent, M-495	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Spartanburg, SC 29304			1771		
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
			10/773,603	WENSTRUP ET AL.					
			Examiner	Art Unit					
			Ms. Arti Singh	1771					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)  ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 May 2006</u> .								
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
• —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
<del>/_</del>	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.								
-									
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> </ul>								
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
and attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.									
Attachment(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	048\	4) Linterview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da		•				
		atent Application							
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   Notice of Informal Patent Application   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/773,603

Art Unit: 1771

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Response to Amendment

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's amendments and accompanying remarks dated 05/17/06. Applicant's amendments to the claims have been entered, which better define the spatial arrangement of the layers. In Applicant's arguments, see response dated 05/17/06 have been fully considered and are persuasive. All previously made rejections have been withdrawn.

## **Specification**

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because on page 2 paragraph 0005 the reference number "100" is referenced for two different items.
- 3. Additionally, there is an extra period at page 1 line 11, after the word etc. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### **Double Patenting**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

Art Unit: 1771

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-14 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 11/130749 (20060264142). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims are both drawn to the same final structure, i.e., a nonwoven fabric having various regions defined by the concentration of the fibers within the regions, and an outer surface formed by melting the binder material at the surface of the nonwoven fabric, and said fibers may be PAN fibers mixed with thermoplastic fibers, found within the same percentages.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Arti Singh whose telephone number is 571-272-1483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 9-5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,603

Art Unit: 1771

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

10/1/00

Ms. Arti Singh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

ars